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The colorless crystals of $\mathrm{CsIOF}_{4}$ are orthorhombic with space group Pmcn, $Z=4$. Room-temperature cell constants are $a=6.024, b=15 \cdot 488$, and $c=6 \cdot 214 \AA$. Data were collected on an automated four-circle diffractometer. The structure contains $\mathrm{IOF}_{4}{ }^{-}$anions (idealized symmetry $C_{4 v}$ ) with four fluorine atoms in the equatorial plane and the oxygen atom on the axial site. The cesium ion has a coordination number of 10 .

Recrystallization of stoichiometric amounts of CsI and $\mathrm{IF}_{5}$ from acetonitrile produced colorless single crystals with the proper unit-cell volume to contain four molecules of $\mathrm{CsIF}_{6}$. The Raman spectrum of the substance showed, however, an intense peak at 888 wave numbers which is expected for an $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency. Since the only ion which contains an $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{O}$ bond and has the right molecular volume for $\mathrm{IF}_{6}{ }^{-}$is $\mathrm{IOF}_{4}^{-}$, and since neither chemical nor structural information existed in the literature for this ion, an X-ray investigation was undertaken.

Several crystals were placed in glass capillaries in an inert atmosphere and examined by precession techniques. The crystal faces were of the prism $\{011\}$ and of the pinacoid $\{100\}$. The photographs taken with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation showed orthorhombic symmetry with the systematic absences: $h 0 l, l$ odd; $h k 0, h+k$ odd. The systematic absences are consistent with the space groups Pmen and $P 2_{1} c n$. In all cases, the $a^{*}$ axis was approximately along the axis of the glass capillary. $\omega$ scans of several reflections on an automated Picker diffractometer showed that all the crystals were fractured about the $a^{*}$ axis so that the splitting of the peaks was most severe for large $k$ and/or $l$ values. The best crystal showed three peaks of approx-
$\dagger$ This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.
imately equal intensity for which the maxima of the 'satellite' peaks were separated from the central peak by about $0.1^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ for the worst reflections investigated. This crystal was used for determing cell constants and for data collection.

Cell dimensions and their estimated standard errors obtained by least-squares refinement on the positions of 12 high-order reflections as determined on the diffractometer using Mo $K \alpha_{1}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.70930 \AA$ ) are $a=6.024$ (2), $b=15 \cdot 488$ ( 6 ), and $c=6 \cdot 214$ (3) $\AA$.
Intensities were collected using Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, a single-crystal graphite monochromator ( 002 plane), and a take-off angle of $5^{\circ}$. The count was taken using a $\theta-2 \theta$ scan over a $2 \theta$ range of $2^{\circ}$ in $0.05^{\circ}$ steps for two sec at each step; stationary-counter stationary-crystal background counts of 20 sec were taken at each end of the scan. Intensities were measured for all $h k l$ planes in the positive octant and for their Friedel related reflections, resulting in 2315 measurements. The redundant (assuming Pmen) reflections were averaged, resulting in 1003 reflections observed according to the criterion $I \geq 3 \sigma(I)$ where $\sigma^{2}(I)=$ $f^{2}(t)(T+B)+\sigma_{s}^{2}(T-B)^{2}, T$ being the total count, $B$ the estimated background, and $f(t)$ a time-dependent correction factor determined as described below. The quantity $\sigma_{s}^{2}$ was taken to be $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, a number which we feel to be a reasonable estimate of the normalized variance of a re-


Fig. 1. Stereo view of the contents of the unit cell looking down the $x$ axis with the $y$ axis to the right. The four fluorine atoms near $y=\frac{1}{2}$ (and their symmetry equivalents) are $F(1)$.

Table 1. Structure factors Column headings are $l, F_{o}$ and $F_{c}$
flection due to random variations other than counting statistics. The $R$ index, estimated by comparison of equivalent reflections, was 0.06 .

The intensity of a standard reflection, measured after every 50 reflections was found to decrease by approximately $10 \%$ during the course of data collection. Multiplicative correction factors $[f(t)]$ for the data were determined by fitting a polynomial to the intensity measurements of the standard reflection. The order of the polynomial was determined from significance tests based on the sum-of-thesquares of the residuals (Hamilton, 1965). Corrections were made for Lorentz and polarization factors. The graphite crystal in the monochromator was assumed to be of perfect mosaicity giving the following form for the polarization factor: $\left(\cos ^{2} 2 \alpha+\cos ^{2} 2 \theta\right) /\left(1+\cos ^{2} 2 \alpha\right)$, where $\alpha$ is the angle the beam makes with the monochromator. The absorption corrections were made with the Busing \& Levy (1957) method using Burnham's (1962) program as modified by Larson, Cromer \& Roof (1964). The linear absorption correction for Mo $K \alpha$ radiation is $112 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the calculated transmission varied from 0.1 to 0.3 .

Structure factors (Table 1) were calculated using the scattering factors of Doyle \& Turner (1968) for the neutral atoms cesium, iodine, oxygen, and fluorine, and the dispersion terms of Cromer \& Liberman (1970), i.e., Cs: $\Delta f^{\prime}=$ $-0.664, \Delta f^{\prime \prime}=2.119$ and $I: \Delta f^{\prime}=-0.726$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}=1.812$.
The Patterson function could be interpreted in terms of two heavy atoms in the special position 4(c) in Pmon, one with the coordinates $x \equiv 0 \cdot 25, y \simeq 0 \cdot 9, z \simeq 0 \cdot 25$, and one with the coordinates $x \equiv 0 \cdot 25, y \simeq 0 \cdot 16$, and $z \simeq 0 \cdot 25$. Refinement of this structure, using iodine scattering amplitudes for both atoms, converged to an $R$ index $\left[R=\sum\left(| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right|\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.\sum\left|F_{o}\right|\right]$ of $0 \cdot 15$. A difference Fourier map showed three unique peaks. Two of these were about $2.0 \AA$ from a heavy atom and consequently were assigned as fluorine atoms. The remaining peak was assigned as an oxygen atom. The heavy atom that was surrounded by four fluorine atoms and one oxygen atom was assigned as iodine. The remaining heavy atom was assigned as cesium.

All refinements were carried out using Larson's (1971) full-matrix least-squares program. The function minimized was $\sum_{i} w_{l}\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, where $w_{i}$ is the weight defined as $1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$.

The structure refined to a weighted $R_{w}$ index, $R_{w}=$ $\sum w_{i}^{1 / 2}| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}^{*}\right|\right| \sum w_{i}^{1 / 2}\left|F_{o}\right|$ of 0.059 with all atoms anisotropic. The unweighted $R$ index was 0.065 . The $R_{w}$ index with only the heavy atoms anisotropic was $0 \cdot 070$. The hypothesis that the light atoms vibrate isotropically can thus be rejected at the 0.05 confidence level. Parameter shifts in the last refinement were less than $0.001 \sigma$ for all parameters. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight is $4 \cdot 7$, indicating significant systematic errors in the data and/ or model. The final difference Fourier map showed residuals near the heavy-atom position ranging in value from -2.0 to $2.3 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$; the largest residuals elsewhere were about $1.0 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ with $\sigma(\varrho)=0.4 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$. The final parameters are given in Table 2.

The structure of the $\mathrm{IOF}_{4}-$ ion (see Fig. 1) has idealized symmetry $C_{4 v}$, with the oxygen atom occupying the axial position as is expected from electron-pair repulsion theory (Gillespie \& Nyholm, 1957). This geometry has been established by microwave spectroscopy for the isoelectronic $\mathrm{XeOF}_{4}$ molecule (Martins \& Wilson, 1968) with the parameters $\mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{O}=1.703$ (15), $\quad \mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{F}=1.900$ (5) $\AA$ and angle $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{F}=91.8(5)^{\circ}$. These parameters may be compared

Table 2. Fractional coordinates and thermal parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CsIOF}_{4}$
The thermal parameters are defined by the equation:
$\exp \left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+\beta_{12} h k+\beta_{13} h l+\beta_{23} k l\right)\right]$.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cs | 2500 | 8968 (1) | 2185 (2) | 136 (2) | $27 \cdot 3$ (4) | 207 (3) | 0 | 0 | -2 (2) |
| I | 2500 | 1609 (1) | 2284 (1) | 126 (2) | $24 \cdot 1$ (2) | 136 (2) | 0 | 0 | -7 (1) |
| 0 | 2500 | 1030 (10) | 9920 (20) | 153 (23) | 44 (6) | 231 (32) | 0 | 0 | -107 (22) |
| F(1) | 230 (10) | 840 (10) | 3450 (20) | 298 (21) | 42 (4) | 384 (27) | -87 (16) | 283 (43) | 8 (2) |
| F(2) | 180 (10) | 2360 (10) | 1020 (10) | 162 (14) | 52 (4) | 232 (18) | 54 (12) | - 49 (29) | 1 (2) |

with the average distances and angles for $\mathrm{IOF}_{4}{ }^{-}$in the present study: $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}=1.965$ (2), $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{O}=1.72$ (1) $\AA$ and angle $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}=88.5(2)^{\circ}$. Distances and angles for $\mathrm{IOF}_{4}$ are shown in Table 3. The trend to shorter distances, as one moves to the right in the periodic table, has been established in the isoelectronic series $\mathrm{MF}_{5} \mathrm{E}$ (see Table 4), although the magnitude of the change in the present case is certainly more than would be expected by such an analogy. In addition, it is tempting to argue that the larger $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F}$ angle in the xenon compound (and the shorter distances) is at least partially due to the decrease in lone-pair to fluorine bond repulsions relative to the oxygen bond to fluorine bond repulsions as one moves to the right in the periodic table. It should be noted, however, that no such increase in angle can be established in the isoelectronic series shown in Table 4.

Table 3. Distances and angles

| I--O | $1 \cdot 72$ (1) $\AA$ | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 18$ (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ (2) | 1.95 (1) | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 30$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ (2) | 1.98 (1) | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 29$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ (2) | $2 \cdot 60$ (1) | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{F}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 17$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ (2) | 2.58 (1) | $\mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}$ | (2) | 3-28 (1) |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ (2) | $2 \cdot 80$ (1) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.73 (1) | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | (2) | 89.9 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)-\mathrm{F}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.79 (1) | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | (2) | 88.1 (4) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | (2) | 90.7 (3) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{I}-\ldots \mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ |  | 88.9 (5) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}(2)-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ |  | 88.6 (4) |

The anion is coordinated by two fluorine atoms (at an I-F distance of $3 \cdot 14 \AA$ ) which lie on a line perpendicular to the presumed iodine lone-pair direction and by three $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ ions which lie approximately above three of the triangular faces of the square pyramid. The intermolecular lone-pair
to fluorine atom interactions apparently lengthen the $F(2)-F\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ distances, increase the $F(2)-I-F\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ angles, and may also be primarily responsible for the lengthening of the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(2)$ distance with respect to $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}(1)$. The $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion is coordinated by eight fluorine atoms and two oxygen atoms at distances less than $3 \cdot 5 \AA$.

The authors are indebted to Dr Don T. Cromer for assistance in the data collection process and to Dr Allen_C. Larson for the use of his unpublished X-ray programs.
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Table 4. Distances and angles in the isoelectronic series $\mathrm{MF}_{5} \mathrm{E}$

|  | SbF5 ${ }^{2-*}$ | TeF $5^{-*}$ | $\mathrm{IF}_{5} \dagger$ | $\mathrm{XeF}_{5}+\ddagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M-F (apical) | 2.00 (9) $\AA$ | 1.862 (4) Å | 1.817 (10) $\AA$ | 1.81 (8) $\AA$ |
| M-F (basal) | 2.04 (9) | $1.953 \text { (3) }$ | 1.873 (5) | $1 \cdot 88$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{a}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F}_{b}$ | $83(2)^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 952(3) \\ & 79 \cdot 4(2)^{\circ} \\ & 78 \cdot 3 \text { (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 80.9 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79(4)^{\circ} \\ & 80(4) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | * Mastin, Ryan \& Asprey (1970). <br> $\dagger$ Jones, Burbank \& Bartlett (1970). <br> $\ddagger$ Bartlett, Einstein, Stewart \& Trotter (1967). |  |  |  |

